In-vivo analysis of brain anatomy at 7 Tesla

Pierre-Louis Bazin

Department of Neurophysics and Department of Neurology

Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences Leipzig, Germany

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE

Big Data in Neuroimaging



Large data sets

Big Data in Neuroimaging





Standard data



Large data

BigBrain: 3D cytoarchitecture at 20µm isotropic resolution

[Amunts et al., Science 2013]

Big Data in Neuroimaging





Large *data*

BigBrain: 3D cytoarchitecture at 20µm isotropic resolution

[Amunts et al., Science 2013]

Current possibilities at 7 Tesla



1.(0 mm 0	.7 mm 0.	.5 mm 43	80 µm 30	0 µm 14	0 µm 7	0 µm	Resolution
								—

Current possibilities at 7 Tesla



 1.0 mm	0.7 mm	0.5 mm	430 µm	300 µr	n 140 µm	70 µm	Resolution
High res. T1-w.	Ultra-high T1 maps	res. L T	Jltra-high r 2*-w.	es.	Max res. T2 post-morter)*-W. N	full brain
		Max res T1 maps	S	Max res T2*-w.	S.	Max res. post-mortem	brain slab

Visible anatomical features





3/20/14

Data complexity



1.0 mm	0.7 mm	0.5 mm	430 µm I	300 µm	140 µm I	70 μm Ι	Resolution
Ī		Ī					
7.2 10 ⁶	20.9 10 ⁶	57.4 10 ⁶	90.3 10 ⁶	265.9 10 ⁶	2.6 10 ⁹	21.0 10 ⁹	Voxels
14 MB	42 MB	115 MB	181 MB	533 MB	5.2 GB	40.8 GB	Data size

Algorithmic complexity



1.0 mm	0.7 mm	0.5 mm	430 µm	300 µm	140 µm I	70 μm Ι	Resolution	
1x	3x	3x 16x		134x	2150x		O(N log N)	
1x	9x	64x	160x	1370x			O(N ²)	

What resolution for analysis?

1.0 mm	0.7 mm	0.5 mm	430 µm	300 µm	140 µm	70 µm	Resolution
High res. T1-w.	Ultra-high T1 maps	res. L T	Jltra-high r 2*-w.	es. Ma po	ax res. T2*- ost-mortem	·W.	full brain
		Max res T1 maps	S	Max res. T2*-w.	M po	ax res. ost-morte	m brain slab
My Cortic	velin concent cal thickness	tration Stria of	Ba Gennari	ands of Ba	illarger Multiple la	ayers	anatomical features
7.2 10 ⁶	20.9 10 ⁶	57.4 10 ⁶	90.3 10 ⁶	265.9 10	⁶ 2.6 10 ⁹	21.0 10	9 Voxels
1x	Зx	16x	32x	134x	2150x		O(N log N)
1v	0.4	CAN	100%	4070.4			$O(N^2)$

What resolution for analysis?

1.0 mm	0.7 mm	0.{ I	mm	430 μm Ι	30() µm	1	40 μm I	70 μ Ι	m	Resolution
		Ī						1			
High res. T1-w.	Ultra-high T1 maps	res.	L T	Iltra-high 2*-w.	res.	M po	ax r ost-i	es. T2*-v nortem¹	W.		full brain
		M T ⁻	ax res maps	S	Max T2*-	k res. -w.		Ma pc	ax res o <i>st-mc</i>	ortem	brain slab
My Cortic	Myelin concentration S Cortical thickness			B Gennari	ands	of Ba	aillar M	ger ultiple la	iyers	a fe	natomical eatures
7.2 10 ⁶	20.9 10 ⁶	57.4	· 10 ⁶	90.3 10	265	5.9 10)6	2.6 10º	21.0	10 ⁹	Voxels
1x	3x	16	<	32x	134	4x	21	50x			O(N log N)
1x	9x	64	ĸ	160x	137	70x					O (N ²)
			4(00 μm M	NI sp	bace					

1. High-resolution T1 mapping





1. High-resolution T1 mapping



Interlude 1: Atlasing of the STh



3/20/14

1. High-resolution T1 mapping



2. Cortical segmentation



1. High-resolution T1 mapping



2. Cortical segmentation



Interlude 2: Cerebellar cortical segmentation



1. High-resolution T1 mapping



2. Cortical segmentation



3. Laminae and profile estimation



1. High-resolution T1 mapping



25 50 75 cortical depth (%)

100

relative cortical depth [%]

1500





2. Cortical segmentation



3/20/14

5. Surface registration and group averaging



4. Cortical area modelling and parcellation



25 50 75 cortical depth (%) 100



3/20/14



1. Ultra-high resolution T1 mapping at 0.5 mm

7T MP2RAGE (TR=5000 ms, TI1=900 ms, TI2=2750 ms):

- full brain at 0.7 mm isotropic resolution (with a GRAPPA acceleration factor of 2, 10:57 min)
- left and right hemisphere slabs at 0.5 mm isotropic resolution (no acceleration, 28:02 min)



[Marques et al., 2010] [Hurley et al., 2010]







Processing steps:

- 1. Normalize the whole-brain image into MNI @ 0.4 mm
- 2. Normalize slabs to whole-brain image
- 3. Skull-strip whole-brain MP2RAGE
- 4. Select T1 value from highest resolution and second inversion signal

fused image (interpolated to 0.4 mm)

Visible details of microanatomy at 0.5 mm



Individual subject

Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences

3/20/14

Comparison with 0.7 mm resolution

0.7 mm isotropic resolution

Average T1 Anatomical Atlas

Groupwise average obtained with SyN (ANTS package): 12 subjects, symmetric diffeomorphisms, 25 steps

[Avants et al., 2008]

Average T1 Anatomical Atlas

3/20/14

Groupwise T1 anatomical details and variability

3/20/14

Standard deviation of T1 for the 12 subjects

Interlude: Atlas of the Sub-thalamic nucleus

Sth: major target for Deep Brain Stimulation (Parkinson's disease)

Interlude: Atlas of the Sub-thalamic nucleus

Sth: major target for Deep Brain Stimulation (Parkinson's disease) 3T structural MRI (0.8 x 0.8 x 0.8 mm)

T2*-weighted

7T structural MRI (0.5 x 0.5 x 0.5 mm)

T2*-weighted

Interlude: Atlas of the Sub-thalamic nucleus

[Keuken et al., JoN 2013]

Quantitative T1 map

Quantitative T1 map

Detailed image model

Quantitative T1 map

[Bazin et al., Neuroimage 2013]

Quantitative T1 map

3/20/14

3/20/14

Cortical surfaces at 400µm scale

Mesh complexity: 750,000 points, 1.5 millions triangles

Same methods employed for cerebral and cerebellar cortices

7T group template

Automatic lobule labelling

3/20/14

3T MRI 1 mm

3T MRI 0.7 mm (HCP)

BigBrain (histology)

7T MRI 0.5 mm

3/20/14

3. Cortical Laminae Modelling

The **bending** of cortical layer changes their relative thickness in order to **preserve** their respective local **volume**

[Bok, Z. ges. Neurol. Psychiat., 1929]

3. Cortical Laminae Modelling

The **bending** of cortical layer changes their relative thickness in order to **preserve** their respective local **volume**

[Bok, Z. ges. Neurol. Psychiat., 1929]

Volume-preserving lamination:

3. Cortical Laminae Modelling

The **bending** of cortical layer changes their relative thickness in order to **preserve** their respective local **volume**

[Bok, Z. ges. Neurol. Psychiat., 1929]

Volume-preserving lamination:

Place intra-cortical laminae of equal depth to maintain volume ratios

[Waehnert et al., NeuroImage 2013]

Validation on post-mortem data

T2* weighted image of pre- and postcentral gyrus, (resolution: 70 µm)

volume-preserving model

Comparison with previous models

Ex-vivo sample of the occipital pole (T2*-weighted, 150µm resolution)

-1 GM/CSF

0 WM/GM cortical depth

Laplace Solution of Laplace equation between cortical boundaries equidistant Constant distance fraction between the lamina and the boundary equi-volume Constant volume fraction between the lamina and the boundary

Comparison with previous models

Ex-vivo sample of the occipital pole (T2*-weighted, 150µm resolution)

3/20/14

Comparison with previous models

Estimation of the depth of the stria of Gennari

Manual labels on curved parts of the stria of Gennari

3/20/14

Effects of curvature and resolution

Effects of curvature and resolution

3/20/14

4. Histology-based modelling and parcellation

Myelin density profiles

[Hellwig, J. Hirnforschung 1993]

4. Histology-based modelling and parcellation

Myelin density profiles

Quantitative T1 intensity profiles

[Dinse et al., MICCAI 2013]

Cytoarchitecture-derived MR profiles

Comparison of empirical and model-based profiles from cytoarchitecture

[Dinse et al., MICCAI 2013]

Model-based Brodmann area parcellation

BAs 4, 3b, 1 and 2: neighboring areas

Model-based Brodmann area parcellation

BAs 4, 3b, 1 and 2: neighboring areas

Model-based probability maps in individual subjects

3/20/14

Model-based Brodmann area parcellation

BAs 4, 3b, 1 and 2: neighboring areas

Model-based probability maps for an individual subject

[Dinse et al., under review]

5. Multi-contrast Multi-scale Surface Registration

Rationale: aligning cortical regions based on common architecture

Average T1?

5. Multi-contrast Multi-scale Surface Registration

Radial and tangential contrasts

Modulated level set Curvedness Shape index Quantitative T1

[Tardif et al., MBIA 2013]

5. Multi-contrast Multi-scale Surface Registration

Radial and tangential contrasts

Modulated level set Curvedness Shape index Quantitative T1

Surfaces of increasing complexity

[Tardif et al., MBIA 2013]

3/20/14

Group average maps of T1

Average over central 50% of T1 profile

Group average maps of T1

Average over central 50% of T1 profile

Software: the CBS Tools

http://www.cbs.mpg.de/institute/software/cbs-hrt/ http://www.nitrc.org/projects/cbs-tools/

- Integrates all the developed algorithms
- Freely available, integrated in MIPAV and JIST
- Handles MP2RAGE and many other contrasts at 3T and 7T
- Normalization to MNI space routinely at 0.4 mm
- Advanced pipeline environment

Version 3.0 to be released in 05/14

[McAuliffe et al., CBMS 2001] [Lucas et al., Neuroinf. 2010]

Main contributors

Acknowledgments

Miriam Waehnert Juliane Dinse Marcel Weiss Christoph Leuze Bibek Dhital

Dr. Andreas Schäfer Dr. Christine Tardif Dr. Robert Trampel PD Dr. Stefan Geyer PD Dr. Gabriele Lohmann

our Neurophysics team the Max Planck Institute

Prof. Robert Turner

This work is partially supported by a Marie Curie International Reintegration Grant from the European Research Comission

John Bogovic Xian Fan Aaron Carass Prof. Jerry Prince

Max Keuken Dr. Birte Forstmann Evan McCreedy William Gandler Dr. Matthew McAuliffe

Dr. Bennett Landman

Judy Kipping Dr. Daniel Margulies

Conclusions

- Ultra-high resolution imaging has matured
- Resolutions, contrasts call for improved image processing methods
- Cortical processing at 0.5 mm reveals fine details of myeloarchitecture
- Volume-preserving cortical lamination is needed to respect layer anatomy
- Cyto-architecture modeling can parcellate cortical areas in-vivo
- Multi-contrast surface alignment improves group averages of myelination

Thank you for your attention!

- Ultra-high resolution imaging has matured
- Resolutions, contrasts call for improved image processing methods
- Cortical processing at 0.5 mm reveals fine details of myeloarchitecture
- Volume-preserving cortical lamination is needed to respect layer anatomy
- Cyto-architecture modeling can parcellate cortical areas in-vivo
- Multi-contrast surface alignment improves group averages of myelination

