NTRODUCTION MODELING BEADS ALGORITHM EVALUATION AND RESULTS CONCLUSIC 000 000 0000000

BEADS : filtrage asymétrique de ligne de base (tendance) et débruitage pour des signaux positifs avec parcimonie des dérivées

Séminaire ICube

L. DUVAL, A. PIRAYRE IFP Energies nouvelles 1 et 4 av. de Bois-Préau, 92852 Rueil-Malmaison - France

> X. NING, I. W. SELESNICK Polytechnic School of Engineering New York University

#### 19 juin 2015

4 ロ ト 4 昂 ト 4 三 ト 4 三 ト 2 の 4 で
1/21

INTRODUCTION	Modeling	BEADS ALGORITHM	EVALUATION AND RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS	
0000	000 000 0		000000		

# The fast way

- Question: where is the string behind the bead?
- ► Smoothness, sparsity, asymmetry



INTRODUCTION	MODELING	BEADS ALGORITHM	EVALUATION AND RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS
0000				

### Outline

INTRODUCTION OUTLINE BACKGROUND

Modeling Notations Compound sparse derivative modeling

BEADS ALGORITHM MAJORIZE-MINIMIZE

Evaluation and results Simulated baseline and noise Poisson noise  $GC \times GC$ 

CONCLUSIONS

 INTRODUCTION
 MODELING
 BEADS ALGORITHM
 EVALUATION AND RESULTS
 CONCLUSION

 00●0
 000
 0000
 0000000
 0000000
 00000000

# Background on background



- Background affects quantitative evaluation/comparison
- ► In some domains: (instrumental) bias, (seasonal) trend
- ► In analytical chemistry: drift, continuum, wander, baseline
- ► Rare cases of parametric modeling



# Background on background



- Background affects quantitative evaluation/comparison
- ► In some domains: (instrumental) bias, (seasonal) trend
- ► In analytical chemistry: drift, continuum, wander, baseline
- Rare cases of parametric modeling



- Background affects quantitative evaluation/comparison
- ► In some domains: (instrumental) bias, (seasonal) trend
- ► In analytical chemistry: drift, continuum, wander, baseline
- Rare cases of parametric modeling



# Background on background



- Background affects quantitative evaluation/comparison
- ► In some domains: (instrumental) bias, (seasonal) trend
- ► In analytical chemistry: drift, continuum, wander, baseline
- ► Rare cases of parametric modeling

 INTRODUCTION
 MODELING
 BEADS ALGORITHM
 Evaluation and results
 Conclus

 000
 000
 0000000
 0000000
 0000000
 00000000

Background on background For analytical chemistry data:



4 ロ ト 4 部 ト 4 語 ト 4 語 ト 語 の 4 で
5 / 21

INTRODUCTION	MODELING	BEADS ALGORITHM	EVALUATION AND RESULTS	CONCLUSIONS
	000			

# Notations

Morphological decomposition:  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{f} + \mathbf{w}, \quad (\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{w}) \in (\mathbb{R}^N)^4.$ 

- ► **y**: observation
- ► **x**: clean series of peaks
- ► **f**: baseline
- ► w: noise

Assumption: in the absence of peaks, the baseline can be approximately recovered from a noise-corrupted observation by low-pass filtering

- $\hat{\mathbf{f}} = \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{y} \hat{\mathbf{x}})$  (L: low-pass filter)
- formulated as  $\|\mathbf{y} \hat{\mathbf{s}}\|_2^2 = \|\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{y} \hat{\mathbf{x}})\|_2^2$
- H = I L: high-pass filter



An estimate  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  can be obtained (with  $\mathbf{D}_i$  diff. operators) via:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \left\{ F(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \| \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) \|_{2}^{2} + \sum_{i=0}^{M} \lambda_{i} R_{i} \left( \mathbf{D}_{i} \mathbf{x} \right) \right\}.$$



Examples of (smooth) sparsity promoting functions for  $R_i$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ \phi_i^A = |x| \\ \bullet \ \phi_i^B = \sqrt{|x|^2 + \epsilon} \\ \bullet \ \phi_i^C = |x| - \epsilon \log\left(|x| + \epsilon\right) \end{array}$$

INTRODUCTION MODELING BEADS ALGORITHM EVALUATION AND RESULTS CONCLUSION 0000 000 0000 0000000

Compound sparse derivative modeling Take the positivity of chromatogram peaks into account:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \left\{ F(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \| \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) \|_{2}^{2} + \lambda_{0} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \theta_{\epsilon}(x_{n}; r) + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \lambda_{i} \sum_{n=0}^{N_{i}-1} \phi\left([\mathbf{D}_{i}\mathbf{x}]_{n}\right) \right\}.$$

Start from:

$$\theta(x; r) = \begin{cases} x, & x \ge 0\\ -rx, & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

8/21

INTRODUCTION MODELING BEADS ALGORITHM EVALUATION AND RESULTS CONCLUSION 0000 000 0000 0000000

Compound sparse derivative modeling Take the positivity of chromatogram peaks into account:

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mathbf{x}} &= \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \Big\{ F(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \| \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) \|_{2}^{2} \\ &+ \lambda_{0} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \theta_{\epsilon}(x_{n}; r) + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \lambda_{i} \sum_{n=0}^{N_{i}-1} \phi\left( [\mathbf{D}_{i} \mathbf{x}]_{n} \right) \Big\}. \end{split}$$

and majorize it



INTRODUCTION MODELING BEADS ALGORITHM EVALUATION AND RESULTS CONCLUSION 0000 000 0000 0000000

#### Compound sparse derivative modeling Take the positivity of chromatogram peaks into account:

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mathbf{x}} &= \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \Big\{ F(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})\|_{2}^{2} \\ &+ \lambda_{0} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \theta_{\epsilon}(x_{n}; r) + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \lambda_{i} \sum_{n=0}^{N_{i}-1} \phi\left([\mathbf{D}_{i}\mathbf{x}]_{n}\right) \Big\}. \end{split}$$

then smooth it:



<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Compound sparse derivative modeling Take the positivity of chromatogram peaks into account:

Take the positivity of chromatogram peaks into account:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \left\{ F(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \| \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) \|_{2}^{2} + \lambda_{0} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \theta_{\epsilon}(x_{n}; r) + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \lambda_{i} \sum_{n=0}^{N_{i}-1} \phi\left( [\mathbf{D}_{i}\mathbf{x}]_{n} \right) \right\}.$$

then majorize it:

$$g_0(x,v) = \begin{cases} \frac{1+r}{4|v|}x^2 + \frac{1-r}{2}x + |v|\frac{1+r}{4}, & |v| > \epsilon\\ \frac{1+r}{4\epsilon}x^2 + \frac{1-r}{2}x + \epsilon\frac{1+r}{4}, & |v| \le \epsilon. \end{cases}$$

4 ロ ト 4 部 ト 4 差 ト 4 差 ト 差 少 9 (や 8 / 21

We now have a majorizer for F

$$G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda_{0} \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} [\mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathbf{v})] \mathbf{x} + \lambda_{0} \mathbf{b}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left[ \frac{\lambda_{i}}{2} (\mathbf{D}_{i} \mathbf{x})^{\mathsf{T}} [\Lambda(\mathbf{D}_{i} \mathbf{v})] (\mathbf{D}_{i} \mathbf{x}) \right] + c(\mathbf{v}).$$

Minimizing  $G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})$  with respect to  $\mathbf{x}$  yields

$$\mathbf{x} = \left[\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{H} + 2\lambda_0 \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathbf{v}) + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \lambda_i \mathbf{D}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \left[\Lambda(\mathbf{D}_i \mathbf{v})\right] \mathbf{D}_i\right]^{-1} \left(\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{y} - \lambda_0 \mathbf{b}\right).$$

with notations

$$c(\mathbf{v}) = \sum_{n} \left[ \phi(v_n) - \frac{v_n}{2} \phi'(v_n) \right].$$

4 ロ ト 4 部 ト 4 差 ト 4 差 ト 差 の Q ()
9 / 21

We now have a majorizer for *F* 

$$G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda_{0} \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} [\mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathbf{v})] \mathbf{x} + \lambda_{0} \mathbf{b}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left[ \frac{\lambda_{i}}{2} (\mathbf{D}_{i} \mathbf{x})^{\mathsf{T}} [\Lambda(\mathbf{D}_{i} \mathbf{v})] (\mathbf{D}_{i} \mathbf{x}) \right] + c(\mathbf{v}).$$

Minimizing  $G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})$  with respect to  $\mathbf{x}$  yields

$$\mathbf{x} = \left[\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{H} + 2\lambda_0 \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathbf{v}) + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \lambda_i \mathbf{D}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \left[\Lambda(\mathbf{D}_i \mathbf{v})\right] \mathbf{D}_i\right]^{-1} \left(\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{y} - \lambda_0 \mathbf{b}\right).$$

with notations

$$[\mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathbf{v})]_{n,n} = \begin{cases} \frac{1+r}{4|v_n|}, & |v_n| \ge \epsilon\\ \\ \frac{1+r}{4\epsilon}, & |v_n| \leqslant \epsilon\\ \frac{1+r}{4\epsilon}, & |v_n| \leqslant \epsilon \end{cases}$$

We now have a majorizer for F

$$G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda_{0} \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} [\mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathbf{v})] \mathbf{x} + \lambda_{0} \mathbf{b}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left[ \frac{\lambda_{i}}{2} (\mathbf{D}_{i} \mathbf{x})^{\mathsf{T}} [\Lambda(\mathbf{D}_{i} \mathbf{v})] (\mathbf{D}_{i} \mathbf{x}) \right] + c(\mathbf{v}).$$

Minimizing  $G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})$  with respect to  $\mathbf{x}$  yields

$$\mathbf{x} = \left[\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{H} + 2\lambda_0 \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathbf{v}) + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \lambda_i \mathbf{D}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \left[\Lambda(\mathbf{D}_i \mathbf{v})\right] \mathbf{D}_i\right]^{-1} \left(\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{y} - \lambda_0 \mathbf{b}\right).$$

with notations

$$[\Lambda(\mathbf{v})]_{n,n} = \frac{\phi'(v_n)}{v_n}$$

4 ロ ト 4 日 ト 4 王 ト 4 王 ト 三 の Q (や 9 / 21

We now have a majorizer for F

$$G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda_{0} \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} [\mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathbf{v})] \mathbf{x} + \lambda_{0} \mathbf{b}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left[ \frac{\lambda_{i}}{2} (\mathbf{D}_{i} \mathbf{x})^{\mathsf{T}} [\Lambda(\mathbf{D}_{i} \mathbf{v})] (\mathbf{D}_{i} \mathbf{x}) \right] + c(\mathbf{v}).$$

Minimizing  $G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})$  with respect to  $\mathbf{x}$  yields

$$\mathbf{x} = \left[\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{H} + 2\lambda_0 \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathbf{v}) + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \lambda_i \mathbf{D}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \left[\Lambda(\mathbf{D}_i \mathbf{v})\right] \mathbf{D}_i\right]^{-1} \left(\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{y} - \lambda_0 \mathbf{b}\right).$$

with notations

$$\left[\mathbf{b}\right]_n = \frac{1-r}{2}$$

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 亘 ▶ < 亘 ▶ < 亘 ▶ Ξ の Q (~ 9/21



Writing filter  $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B} \approx \mathbf{B}\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  (banded matrices) we have

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}^{-1} \left( \mathbf{B}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{y} - \lambda_0 \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{b} \right)$$

where **Q** is the banded matrix,

$$\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{B}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{A},$$

and **M** is the banded matrix,

$$\mathbf{M} = 2\lambda_0 \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathbf{v}) + \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i \mathbf{D}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \left[ \Lambda(\mathbf{D}_i \mathbf{v}) \right] \mathbf{D}_i$$

4 ロ ト 4 部 ト 4 語 ト 4 語 ト 語 の 4 で
10 / 21

**BEADS** Algorithm

Using previous equations, the MM iteration takes the form:

$$\mathbf{M}^{(k)} = 2\lambda_0 \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) + \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i \mathbf{D}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \left[ \Lambda(\mathbf{D}_i \mathbf{x}^{(k)}) \right] \mathbf{D}_i.$$
$$\mathbf{Q}^{(k)} = \mathbf{B}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{M}^{(k)} \mathbf{A}$$
$$\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{A} [\mathbf{Q}^{(k)}]^{-1} \left( \mathbf{B}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{y} - \lambda_0 \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{b} \right)$$

4 ロ ト 4 日 ト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 4 目 か 9 0 0
11 / 21

INTRODUCTION MODELING BEADS ALGORITHM EVALUATION AND RESULTS CONCLUSIONS 0000 000

# **BEADS** Algorithm

Input: **y**, **A**, **B**,  $\lambda_i$ , i = 0, ..., M

1. 
$$\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{B}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{y}$$

2. 
$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$$
 (Initialization)

Repeat

3. 
$$[\mathbf{\Lambda}_{i}]_{n,n} = \frac{\phi'([\mathbf{D}_{i}\mathbf{x}]_{n})}{[\mathbf{D}_{i}\mathbf{x}]_{n}}, \quad i = 0, \dots, M,$$
4. 
$$\mathbf{M} = \sum_{i=0}^{M} \lambda_{i} \mathbf{D}_{i}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Lambda}_{i} \mathbf{D}_{i}$$
5. 
$$\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{B}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{A}$$
6. 
$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{b}$$
Until converged
8. 
$$\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{B} \mathbf{A}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})$$
Output:  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{f}$ 

うくで 12/21

Ξ



## Evaluation 1



Figure : Simulated chromatograms w/ polynomial+sine baseline.

うくで 13 / 21

Ξ

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

INTRODUCTION	Modeling	BEADS ALGORITHM	EVALUATION AND RESULTS	CONCLUSION
			000000	

# Evaluation 1 with Gaussian noise



	0 dB	0 dB		10 dB		20 dB	
	Mean	Std	Mean	Std	Mean	Std	
BEADS backcor airLPS	28.1 24.91 20.26	8.52 9.75 9.65	32.64 31.27 22.54	8.02 8.33 10.15	38.33 36.47 26.71	6.74 6.53 7.76	

<□ > < 部 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 臣 ? Q ? 14/21



## Evaluation 2



Figure : Simulated chromatograms w/ limited power spectrum noise.

#### Evaluation 2 with Gaussian noise



<□ ト < □ ト < □ ト < 三 ト < 三 ト < 三 り < ○ 16/21



#### Evaluation 3 with Poisson noise



100

N Modei 000 EVALUATION AND RESULTS

### Two-dimensional chromatography data 1 Hyphenated, two-dimensional gas chromatography data



Original data

4 ロ ト 4 昂 ト 4 三 ト 4 三 ト 三 の 4 で
18 / 21

UCTION MODELING BEADS ALGORITHM E

EVALUATION AND RESULTS

### Two-dimensional chromatography data 1 Hyphenated, two-dimensional gas chromatography data



#### 2D background

◆□ ▶ ◆ @ ▶ ◆ 注 ▶ ◆ 注 ▶ ○ Q ○ 18 / 21 
 TODUCTION
 MODELING
 BEADS ALGORITHM
 Evaluation and results

 00
 000
 0000000
 0000000

### Two-dimensional chromatography data 1 Hyphenated, two-dimensional gas chromatography data



Noise

N MODELII 000 EVALUATION AND RESULTS

### Two-dimensional chromatography data 1 Hyphenated, two-dimensional gas chromatography data



#### Corrected

4 ロ ト 4 回 ト 4 三 ト 4 三 ト 4 三 少 4 で
18 / 21

N MODE

EVALUATION AND RESULTS

### Two-dimensional chromatography data 1 Hyphenated, two-dimensional gas chromatography data



#### Original data (again!)

4 ロ ト 4 回 ト 4 三 ト 4 三 ト 4 三 少 4 で
18 / 21

CTION M

BEADS ALGORIT 0000 EVALUATION AND RESULTS

#### Two-dimensional chromatography data 2 Hyphenated, two-dimensional gas chromatography data



Original data

< □ ▷ < □ ▷ < Ξ ▷ < Ξ ▷ < Ξ ▷ < Ξ ○ < </li>

 19/21

#### Two-dimensional chromatography data 2 Hyphenated, two-dimensional gas chromatography data



#### 2D background

4 ロ ト 4 部 ト 4 注 ト 4 注 ト 注 少 4 で
19 / 21

 DUCTION
 MODELING
 BEADS ALGORITHM
 Evaluation and results

 000
 0000
 0000000

### Two-dimensional chromatography data 2 Hyphenated, two-dimensional gas chromatography data



Noise

4 ロ ト 4 昂 ト 4 三 ト 4 三 ト 三 つへで 19 / 21 CTION MC

BEADS ALGORIT 0000 EVALUATION AND RESULTS

### Two-dimensional chromatography data 2 Hyphenated, two-dimensional gas chromatography data



#### Corrected

< □ ▶ < ⊡ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 < ○ < ○</li>
 19 / 21

CTION M

EVALUATION AND RESULTS 000000●

### Two-dimensional chromatography data 2 Hyphenated, two-dimensional gas chromatography data



#### Original data (again!)

4 ロ ト 4 日 ト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 4 目 9 4 で
19 / 21

INTRODUCTION MODELING BEADS ALGORITHM EVALUATION AND RESULTS CONCLUSIONS

## Conclusions and work to come

- ► BEADS: Baseline Estimation And Denoising... Sparsely
- Asymmetric penalties with Majorization-Minimization
- Matlab toolbox: http://lc.cx/beads
- Important pre-processing for image alignment
- Further tests on other analytical chemistry signals for routine analysis
  - gas, liquid or ion chromatography; infrared, Raman, Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy; mass spectrometry
- Use closer to  $\ell_0$  sparse penalties: SOOT or smoothed  $\ell_1/\ell_2$



# More for free: additional references

V. Mazet, C. Carteret, D. Brie, J. Idier, and B. Humbert. Background removal from spectra by designing and minimising a non-quadratic cost function. *Chemometr. Intell. Lab. Syst.*, 76(2):121–133, 2005.



C. Vendeuvre, R. Ruiz-Guerrero, F. Bertoncini, L. Duval, D. Thiébaut, and M.-C. Hennion. Characterisation of middle-distillates by comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography (GC  $\times$  GC): A powerful alternative for performing various standard analysis of middle-distillates. J. Chrom. A, 1086(1-2):21–28, 2005.



C. Vendeuvre, R. Ruiz-Guerrero, F. Bertoncini, L. Duval, and D. Thiébaut.

Comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography for detailed characterisation of petroleum products. Oil Gas Sci. Tech., 62(1):43–55, 2007.



X. Ning, I. W. Selesnick, and L. Duval.

Chromatogram baseline estimation and denoising using sparsity (BEADS). Chemometr. Intell. Lab. Syst., 139:156–167, Dec. 2014.



A. Repetti, M. Q. Pham, L. Duval, E. Chouzenoux, and J.-C. Pesquet. Euclid in a taxicab: Sparse blind deconvolution with smoothed  $\ell_1/\ell_2$  regularization. *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, 22(5):539–543, May 2015.



C. Couprie, M. Moreaud, L. Duval, S. Henon and V. Souchon, BARCHAN: Blob Alignment for Robust CHromatographic ANalysis. J. Chrom. A, 2016...