Semi-automatic liver tumor segmentation in DCE-CT scans using random forests and supervoxels P.-H. Conze<sup>1</sup>, F. Rousseau<sup>2</sup>, V. Noblet<sup>1</sup>, F. Heitz<sup>1</sup>, R. Memeo<sup>3</sup> and P. Pessaux<sup>3</sup> conze@unistra.fr

<sup>1</sup> ICube, University of Strasbourg, CNRS, Fédération de Médecine Translationnelle de Strasbourg, France <sup>2</sup> Institut Mines-Télécom, Télécom Bretagne, INSERM, LATIM, Brest, France <sup>3</sup> Pôle Hépato-Digestif, Institut Hospitalo-Universitaire de Strasbourg, France

## Context

Evaluation of pre-operative locoregional treatments (PLT) response for hepato-cellular carcinoma (HCC):

- PLT downstage HCC tumors by necrosis
- standard scores (RECIST, EASL or WHO) do not provide satisfactory results
- tumor necrosis (TN) rate provides more

## Contributions

**Proposed framework:** multi-phase cluster-wise random forest

(1) Over-segmentation





(2) Interaction









significant correlation with survival rates

To overcome inter-expert variability induced by visual assessment, we propose a semi-automatic method to estimate **TN** rate from dynamic contrast-enhanced (DCE) CT scans:

- requires the segmentation of healthy liver, tumoral active and necrotic areas
- DCE images provide discriminative information: HCC is characterized by arterial enhancement followed by venous washout in response to contrast agent injection



## State-of-the-art

Unsupervised multi-phase methods

- liver tumor segmentation through level sets, k-means or graph cut

Liver volume decomposed into a set of  $K_R$  3D SLIC supervoxels Training 3D supervoxel selection  $m{R} = \{m{r}_i\}_{i \in \{1,...,K_R\}}$  $S = \{r_j, c(r_j)\}_{j \in \{1,...,K_R\}}$ 

③ Training



Random forest [2] optimized using S to obtain a voxel/label mapping model

From voxels to semantic regions



(4) Prediction



(5) TN rate estimation



Prediction of label  $c(\mathbf{r})$  assigned to each 3D supervoxel  $\boldsymbol{r} \in \boldsymbol{R} \backslash \boldsymbol{S}$ 

- Random forest performed on 3D supervoxels obtained with SLIC [1]:
  - introduces spatial consistency at a large spatial extent
  - reduces interaction efforts: the practitioner has only to label a subset of  $\boldsymbol{R}$  instead of brushing strokes on many voxels

- multi-phase voxel-wise features: capture the dynamic in response to agent injection

User interaction appears necessary since arterial enhancement and venous washout depend on contrast agent kinetic and injection protocol.

### Supervised single-phase methods

- tumor extraction relying on supervised ensemble learning
- spatial features with limited context
- voxel-wise: requires a significant amount of user interaction

Exploiting multi-phase input data

Multi-phase cluster-wise features assigned to supervoxels:

Related to	Features	Nb
Intensity	mean intensity including $BL + std dev$ .	4+4
Gradient	mean gradient magnitude + std dev.	4+4
Multi-phase	peak enhancement (PE)	1
	inter-phase diff. $\Delta_{EV/AR}, \Delta_{LV/EV}$	2
	area under enhancement curve (AUC)	1

Multi-phase features discriminate supervoxels based on their own arterial enhancement and venous washout



# Results

7 examinations with 6 equally reparted 2D axial slices labeled by 4 experts in hepato-digestive surgery whose annotations are fused using STAPLE [3]. We comparatively assess single-phase voxelwise (SpVx), single-phase cluster-wise (SpCl), multi-phase voxel-wise (MpVx) and the proposed *multi-phase cluster-wise* (MpCl) random forest (RF).

methods	SpVx-RF	SpCl-RF	MpVx-RF	MpCl-RF
TN rate error	$6.40 \pm 2.85$	$9.13 \pm 4.78$	$6.60 \pm 3.32$	$5.26 \pm 3.90$
DICE <sub>activ</sub>	$54.3 \pm 17.2$	$65.8 \pm 15.3$	$65.5 \pm 12.4$	$74.4 \pm 12.6$
DICEnecro	$65.0 \pm 21.6$	$63.8 \pm 25.8$	$71.8 \pm 17.6$	$\textbf{71.9} \pm 19.5$
DICEprcm	$80.5 \pm 13.1$	$89.7 \pm 4.90$	$87.4 \pm 9.00$	$93.3 \pm 3.08$

## Further work

- multi-examination learning to make our strategy becoming fully automatic
- longitudinal liver tumor study
- extension to other tumor types, organs and modalities



Results confirm the benefits of exploiting dynamic information at a cluster spatial extent

#### Acknowledgements

This work received the financial support from Fondation Arc, www.fondation-arc.org.

### References

- Radhakrishna A. et al., SLIC superpixels compared to state-of-the-art superpixel methods. *IEEE Trans*actions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 34(11):2274–2282, 2012.
- Breiman L. Random Forests. Machine learning, 45(1):5-32, 2001.
- Simon K.W. et al., Simultaneous truth and performance level estimation (STAPLE). IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, 23(7):903–921, 2004.